arXiv:1403.3748v1 [math.NT] 15 Mar 2014

Spherical functions on the space of p-adic unitary hermitian matrices II, the case of odd size

Yumiko Hironaka
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Education and Integrated Sciences, Waseda University
Nishi-Waseda, Tokyo, 169-8050, JAPAN,
and
Yasushi Komori
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science, Rikkyo University
Nishi-Ikebukururo, Tokyo, 171-8501, JAPAN

March 18, 2014

Abstract

We are interested in the harmonic analysis on p-adic homogeneous spaces based on spherical functions. In the present paper, we investigate the space X of unitary hermitian matrices of odd size over a $\mathfrak{p}$-adic field of odd residual characteristic, which is a continuation of our previous paper where we have studied for even size matrices. First we give the explicit representatives of the Cartan decomposition of X and introduce a typical spherical function $\omega(x;z)$ on X. After studying the functional equations, we give an explicit formula for $\omega(x;z)$, where Hall-Littlewood polynomials of type C_n appear as a main term, though the unitary group acting on X is of type BC_n. By spherical transform, we show the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$ is a free Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$-module of rank 2^n, where $2n+1$ is the size of matrices in X, and give parametrization of all the spherical functions on X and the explicit Plancherel formula on $\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$.

Keywords: Spherical functions, Plancherel formula, unitary groups, hermitian matrices, Hall-Littlewood polynomials.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 11E85, 11E95, 11F70, 22E50, 33D52.

§0 Introduction

We have been interested in the harmonic analysis on p-adic homogeneous spaces based on spherical functions. We have considered the space of p-adic unitary hermitian matrices

of even size in [HK], and in the present paper we will study that of odd size. The results can be formulated in parallel, though the groups acting the spaces have different root structures, C_n for even and BC_n for odd. The spaces have a natural close relation to the theory of automorphic functions and classical theory of sesquilinear forms (e.g. [H5], [HS]).

We fix an unramified quadratic extension k'/k of $\mathfrak{p}$-adic field k such that $2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$, and consider hermitian and unitary matrices with respect to k'/k, and denote by a^* the conjugate transpose of $a \in M_{mn}(k')$. Let π be a prime element of k and q the cardinality of the residue class field $\mathcal{O}_k/(\pi)$ and we normalize the absolute value on k by $|\pi| = q^{-1}$.

Denote by $j_m \in GL_m(k)$ the matrix whose all anti-diagonal entries are 1 and others are 0. Set

$$\begin{aligned} &G = U(j_m) = \{\, g \in GL_m(k') \mid g j_m g^* = j_m \,\}, \quad K = G(\mathcal{O}_{k'}) \\ &X = \{\, x \in X \mid x^* = x,\ \Phi_{xj_m}(t) = \Phi_{j_m}(t) \,\}, \\ &g \cdot x = gxg^*, \quad (g \in G,\ x \in X), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_y(t)$ is the characteristic polynomial of matrix y. We note that X is a single $G(\overline{k})$-orbit over the algebraic closure $\overline{k}$ of k. Set

$$n = \left[\frac{m}{2}\right].$$

According to the parity of m, G has the root structure of type C_n for even m and type BC_n for odd m. It is known in general that the spherical functions on various p-adic groups Γ can be expressed in terms of the specialization of Hall-Littlewood polynomials of the corresponding root structure of Γ (cf. [M2, §10], also [Car, Theorem 4.4]). For the present space X, the main term of spherical functions can be written by using Hall-Littlewood polynomials of type C_n with different specialization according to the parity of m (cf. Theorem 3 below).

Let us note the results of even size in [HK] and odd size in the present paper simultaneously, so that one can compare the results. In both papers, we study the Cartan decomposition and G-orbit decomposition in §1, functional equations of spherical functions in §2, explicit formulas of spherical functions in §3, and harmonic analysis on X in §4.

Theorem 1 (1) *A set of complete representatives of $K\backslash X$ can be taken as*

$$\left\{\, x_\lambda \;\middle|\; \lambda \in \Lambda_n^+ \right\}, \tag{0.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_\lambda &= \begin{cases} \mathrm{Diag}(\pi^{\lambda_1}, \dots, \pi^{\lambda_n}, \pi^{-\lambda_n}, \dots, \pi^{-\lambda_1}) & \text{if } m = 2n \\ \mathrm{Diag}(\pi^{\lambda_1}, \dots, \pi^{\lambda_n}, 1, \pi^{-\lambda_n}, \dots, \pi^{-\lambda_1}) & \text{if } m = 2n+1, \end{cases} \\ \Lambda_n^+ &= \{\, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0 \,\}, \end{aligned}$$

(2) *There are precisely two G-orbits in X represented by $x_0 = x_{\mathbf{0}} = 1_m$ and $x_1 = x_{(1,0,\dots,0)}$.*

The proof of Cartan decomposition for odd size needs a more delicate calculation than that for even size. If k has even residual characteristic, there are some K-orbits without any diagonal matrix besides the above types, independent of the parity of the size.

A spherical function on X is a K-invariant function on X which is a common eigenfunction with respect to the convolutive action of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$, and a typical one is constructed by Poisson transform from relative invariants of a parabolic subgroup. We take the Borel subgroup B consisting of upper triangular matrices in G. For $x \in X$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we consider the following integral

$$\omega(x;s) = \int_K \prod_{i=1}^{n} |d_i(k \cdot x)|^{s_i}\, dk, \tag{0.2}$$

where $d_i(y)$ is the determinant of the lower right i by i block of y, $\ 1 \le i \le n$. Then the right hand side of (0.2) is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}(s_i) \ge 0,\ 1 \le i \le n$, and continued to a rational function of $q^{s_1}, \dots, q^{s_n}$. Since $d_i(x)$'s are relative B-invariants on X such that

$$d_i(p \cdot x) = \psi_i(p) d_i(x), \quad \psi_i(p) = N_{k'/k}(d_i(p)) \quad (p \in B,\ x \in X,\ 1 \le i \le n),$$

we see $\omega(x;s)$ is a spherical function on X which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f * \omega(x;s) &= \lambda_s(f)\omega(x;s), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K) \\ \lambda_s(f) &= \int_B f(p) \prod_{i=1}^{n} |\psi_i(p)|^{-s_i}\, \delta(p) dp, \end{aligned}$$

where dp is the left invariant measure on B with modulus character δ. We introduce the new variable $z \in \mathbb{C}$ related to s by

$$\begin{aligned} s_i &= -z_i + z_{i+1} - 1 + \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}, \quad 1 \le i \le n-1 \\ s_n &= \begin{cases} -z_n - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q} & \text{if } m = 2n \\ -z_n - 1 + \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2\log q} & \text{if } m = 2n+1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{0.3}$$

and denote $\omega(x;z) = \omega(x;s)$ and $\lambda_s = \lambda_z$.

The Weyl group W of G relative to B acts on rational characters of B, hence on z and s also. The group W is generated by S_n which acts on z by permutation of indices and by τ such that $\tau(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, -z_n)$. We will give the functional equation of $\omega(x;z)$ with respect to W. To describe the results we prepare some notation. We set

$$\begin{aligned} &\Sigma^+ = \Sigma_s^+ \sqcup \Sigma_\ell^+, \\ &\Sigma_s^+ = \{\, e_i + e_j,\ e_i - e_j \mid 1 \le i < j \le n \,\}, \quad \Sigma_\ell^+ = \{\, 2e_i \mid 1 \le i \le n \,\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the i-th unit vector, and define a pairing

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},\ \langle \alpha,\ z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i z_i.$$

Theorem 2 (1) *For any $\sigma \in W$, one has*

$$\omega(x;z) = \prod_{\alpha} \frac{1 - q^{\langle \alpha,\ z \rangle - 1}}{q^{\langle \alpha,\ z \rangle} - q^{-1}} \cdot \omega(x; \sigma(z)),$$

where α runs over the set $\{\alpha \in \Sigma_s^+ \mid -\sigma(\alpha) \in \Sigma^+\}$ for $m = 2n$ and $\{\alpha \in \Sigma^+ \mid -\sigma(\alpha) \in \Sigma^+\}$ for $m = 2n+1$.
(2) The function $G(z)\cdot\omega(x;z)$ is holomorphic and W-invariant, hence belongs to $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm z_1}, \dots, q^{\pm z_n}]^W$, where

$$G(z) = \prod_{\alpha} \frac{1 + q^{\langle \alpha,\, z\rangle}}{1 - q^{\langle \alpha,\, z\rangle - 1}},$$

and α runs over the set Σ_s^+ for $m = 2n$ and Σ^+ for $m = 2n+1$.

As for the explicit formula of $\omega(x;z)$ it suffices to give for x_λ by Theorem 1 (1).

Theorem 3 *(Explicit formula) For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$, one has*

$$\omega(x_\lambda; z) = \frac{c_n}{G(z)} \cdot q^{\langle \lambda,\, z_0\rangle} \cdot Q_\lambda(z;t),$$

where $G(z)$ is given in Theorem 2, z_0 is the value in z-variable corresponding to $s = \mathbf{0}$,

$$c_n = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(1-q^{-2})^n}{w_m(-q^{-1})} & \text{if } m = 2n \\ \dfrac{(1+q^{-1})(1-q^{-2})^n}{w_m(-q^{-1})} & \text{if } m = 2n+1, \end{cases} \qquad w_m(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{m}(1-t^i),$$

$$Q_\lambda(z;t) = \sum_{\sigma \in W} \sigma\left(q^{-\langle \lambda,\, z\rangle} c(z;t)\right), \quad c(z;t) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \frac{1 - t_\alpha q^{\langle \alpha,\, z\rangle}}{1 - q^{\langle \alpha,\, z\rangle}}$$

$$t_\alpha = \begin{cases} t_s & \text{if } \alpha \in \Sigma_s^+ \\ t_\ell & \text{if } \alpha \in \Sigma_\ell^+, \end{cases} \qquad t_s = -q^{-1}, \quad t_\ell = \begin{cases} q^{-1} & \text{if } m = 2n \\ -q^{-2} & \text{if } m = 2n+1. \end{cases}$$

We see $Q_\lambda(z;t)$ belongs to $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm z_1}, \dots, q^{\pm z_n}]^W$ by Theorem 2. It is known that $Q_\lambda(z;t) = W_\lambda(t) P_\lambda(z;t)$ with Hall-Littlewood polynomial $P_\lambda(z;t)$ and Poincaré polynomial $W_\lambda(t)$ and the set $\{P_\lambda(z;t) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_n^+\}$ forms an orthogonal $\mathbb{C}$-basis for $\mathcal{R}$ for each $t_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, |t_\alpha| < 1$ (cf. [HK, Appendix C]). As is written as above, we need the different specialization for $Q_\lambda(z;t)$ according to the parity of m, and $G(z)$ is different also.

In particular, we have

$$\omega(x_0; z) = \frac{(1-q^{-1})^n w_n(-q^{-1}) w_{m'}(-q^{-1})}{w_m(-q^{-1})} \cdot G(z)^{-1}, \qquad m' = \left[\tfrac{m+1}{2}\right] \tag{0.4}$$

and we may modify the spherical function $\omega(x;z)$ as

$$\Psi(x;z) = \frac{\omega(x;z)}{\omega(x_0;z)} \in \mathcal{R}. \tag{0.5}$$

We define the spherical Fourier transform on the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$ as follows

$$\widehat{\ }: \mathcal{S}(K\backslash X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R},\ \varphi \longmapsto \widehat{\varphi}(z) = \int_X \varphi(x)\Psi(x;z)dx$$

where dx is a G-invariant measure on X.

Theorem 4 (1) *The above spherical transform is an $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$-module isomorphism and $\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$ is a free $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$-module of rank 2^n.*

(2) *All the spherical Fourier functions on X are parametrized by $z \in \left(\mathbb{C}/\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}\right)^n/W$ through λ_z, and the set $\left\{ \Psi(x; z+u) \,\middle|\, u \in \{0, \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}\}^n \right\}$ forms a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of spherical functions corresponding to z.*

(3) *(Plancherel formula) Set a measure $d\mu(z)$ on $\mathfrak{a}^* = \left\{\sqrt{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}/\frac{2\pi}{\log q}\mathbb{Z}\right)\right\}^n$ by*

$$d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \cdot \frac{w_n(-q^{-1}) w_{m'}(-q^{-1})}{(1+q^{-1})^{m'}} \cdot \frac{1}{|c(z;t)|^2} dz, \qquad m' = \left[\tfrac{m+1}{2}\right]$$

where dz is the Haar measure on $\mathfrak{a}^*$. By an explicitly given normalization of dx depending on the parity of m, one has

$$\int_X \varphi(x)\overline{\psi(x)}dx = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \widehat{\varphi}(z)\overline{\widehat{\psi}(z)}d\mu(z) \quad (\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)).$$

(4) *(Inversion formula) For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$, one has*

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \widehat{\varphi}(z)\Psi(x;z)d\mu(z), \quad x \in X.$$

§1 The Space X of unitary hermitian matrices

1.1. Let k' be an unramified quadratic extension of a $\mathfrak{p}$-adic field k of odd residual characteristic and consider hermitian and unitary matrices with respect to k'/k, and denote by a^* the conjugate transpose of $a \in M_{mn}(k')$. Let π be a prime element of k and q the cardinality of the residue class field $\mathcal{O}_k/(\pi)$ and we normalize the absolute value on k by $|\pi| = q^{-1}$ and denote by $v_\pi(\ )$ the additive value on k. We fix a unit $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times$ for which $k' = k(\sqrt{\epsilon})$.

We consider the unitary group

$$G = G_n = \{ g \in GL_{2n+1}(k') \mid g^* j_{2n+1} g = j_{2n+1} \}, \qquad j_{2n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 1 \\ & \iddots & \\ 1 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n+1},$$

and the space X of unitary hermitian matrices in G

$$X = X_n = \left\{ x \in G \,\middle|\, x^* = x,\ \Phi_{xj_{2n+1}}(t) = (t^2-1)^n(t-1) \right\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Phi_y(t)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the matrix y. It should be noted that (1.1) implies $\det x = 1$. We note that X is a single $G(\overline{k})$-orbit containing 1_{2n+1} over the algebraic closure $\overline{k}$ of k ([HK, Appendix A]). The group G acts on X by

$$g \cdot x = gxg^* = gxj_{2n+1}g^{-1}j_{2n+1}, \quad g \in G,\ x \in X.$$

We fix a maximal compact subgroup K of G by

$$K = K_n = G \cap M_{2n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{k'}),$$

(cf. [Sa, §9]), and take a Borel subgroup B of G, which consists of all the triangular matrices in G and is given by

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & u & \\ & & j_n A^{*-1} j_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & \beta & C \\ & 1 & -\beta^* j_n \\ & & 1_n \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} A \in B_n, \beta \in (k')^n, u \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^1, C \in M_n(k') \\ \beta\beta^* + Cj_n + j_n C^* = 0_n \end{array} \right\}, \tag{1.2}$$

where B_n is the set of all the upper triangular matrices in $GL_n(k')$, $\mathcal{O}_{k'}^1 = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{O}_{k''}^\times \;\middle|\; N(u) = 1 \right\}$. Here and hereafter empty entries in matrices should be understood as 0 and N as the norm map $N_{k'/k}$. The group G satisfies the Iwasawa decomposition $G = BK = KB$.

In this section, we give the K-orbit decomposition and the G-orbit decomposition of the space X.

Theorem 1.1 *The K-orbit decomposition of X_n is given as follows:*

$$X_n = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+} K \cdot x_\lambda, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\Lambda_n^+ = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0 \},$$
$$x_\lambda = \mathrm{Diag}(\pi^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \pi^{\lambda_n}, 1, \pi^{-\lambda_n}, \cdots, \pi^{-\lambda_1}).$$

We recall the case of unramified hermitian matrices. The group $GL_m(k')$ acts on the set $\mathcal{H}_m(k') = \{ x \in GL_m(k') \mid x^* = x \}$ by $g \cdot x = gxg^*$, and it is known (cf. [Ja])

$$\mathcal{H}_m(k') \;=\; \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_m} GL_m(\mathcal{O}_{k'}) \cdot \pi^\mu \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\Lambda_m = \{ \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^m \mid \mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_m \}, \quad \pi^\mu = \mathrm{Diag}(\pi^{\mu_1}, \ldots, \pi^{\mu_m}).$$

Hence, we see that $K \cdot x_\lambda \cap K \cdot x_\mu = \emptyset$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$ in Λ_n^+. Moreover, since $N(\mathcal{O}_{k'}^\times) = \mathcal{O}_k^\times$, we see that any diagonal $x \in X$ is reduced to some x_λ, $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$ by the action of K.

1.2. In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.1 for $n = 1$. It is useful to write down the explicit form of K_1, which is easily checked.

Lemma 1.2

$$
\begin{aligned}
&K_1 = K_{1,1} \sqcup K_{1,2},\\
&K_{1,1} := \left\{ g \in BjB \cap K \;\middle|\; g_{31} \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{\times} \right\} = \left\{ g \in K \;\middle|\; g_{31} \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{\times} \right\}\\
&\quad = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & & \\ & u & \\ & & \alpha^{*-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d^* & f \\ & 1 & d \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & -b^* \\ 1 & b & c \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{\times},\ u \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{1} \\ b, d \in \mathcal{O}_{k'},\ c_0, f_0 \in \mathcal{O}_k \\ c = -\frac{N(b)}{2} + c_0\sqrt{\varepsilon},\ f = -\frac{N(d)}{2} + f_0\sqrt{\varepsilon} \end{array} \right\},\\
&K_{1,2} := \left\{ g \in K \;\middle|\; g_{31} \in \mathfrak{p} \right\}\\
&\quad = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & & \\ & u & \\ & & \alpha^{*-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ b & 1 & \\ c & -b^* & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d & f \\ & 1 & -d^* \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{\times},\ u \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{1} \\ b \in \pi\mathcal{O}_{k'},\ c_0 \in \pi\mathcal{O}_k,\ d \in \mathcal{O}_{k'},\ f_0 \in \mathcal{O}_k \\ c = -\frac{N(b)}{2} + c_0\sqrt{\varepsilon},\ f = -\frac{N(d)}{2} + f_0\sqrt{\varepsilon} \end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We take an element $x \in X_1$, write it as

$$
x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ b^* & d & f \\ c^* & f^* & g \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a, d, g \in k, \quad b, c, f \in k', \tag{1.5}
$$

and show that the orbit $K \cdot x$ contains an element $\mathrm{Diag}(\pi^{\ell}, 1, \pi^{-\ell})$ for some $\ell \geq 0$. Since $x \in G$ is equivalent to $xj_3xj_3 = 1_3$ and $\Phi_{xj_3}(t) = (t^2-1)(t-1)$, we obtain the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
ag + bf + c^2 &= 1, && \text{(1.6a)}\\
af^* + b(c+d) &= 0, && \text{(1.6b)}\\
a(c+c^*) + bb^* &= 0, && \text{(1.6c)}\\
b^*g + (c+d)f &= 0, && \text{(1.6d)}\\
bf + b^*f^* + d^2 &= 1, && \text{(1.6e)}\\
(c+c^*)g + ff^* &= 0, && \text{(1.6f)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(t^2-1)(t-1) \qquad\qquad (1.7)\\
&= \ (t-c)(t-c^*)(t-d) - (t-c)b^*f^* - (t-c^*)bf - (t-d)ag - aff^* - bb^*g.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1.3 *If the element x of (1.5) satisfies the following condition* (i) *or* (ii)

(i) $a \neq 0$ *and* $v_\pi(a) \leq v_\pi(b)$, *or* $g \neq 0$ *and* $v_\pi(g) \leq v_\pi(f)$,

(ii) $ag = 0$,

then $K \cdot x$ contains $\mathrm{Diag}(\pi^{\ell}, 1, \pi^{-\ell})$ *for some $\ell \geq 0$.*

Proof. As for the condition (i), it suffices to consider the case $a \neq 0$ and $v_\pi(a) \leq v_\pi(b)$, by the action of j_3. Considering the action of

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ \lambda & 1 & \\ \mu & -\lambda^* & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{k'} \text{ such that } a\lambda + b^* = 0,\ \lambda\lambda^* + \mu + \mu^* = 0,
$$

we can assume

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & c \\ 0 & d & f \\ c^* & f^* & g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (1.6) and (1.7) imply

$$ag + c^2 = 1, \qquad f = 0, \qquad c + c^* = 0, \qquad d = 1,$$

and therefore we can write as, after the action of j_3 if necessary,

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & c_1\sqrt{\epsilon} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -c_1\sqrt{\epsilon} & 0 & g \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, g, c_1 \in k,\ ag + c_1^2\epsilon = 1, v_\pi(a) \geq v_\pi(g).$$

Since $\sqrt{\epsilon} \notin k$, we see $v_\pi(ag) \leq 0$, and by a suitable element of type $\mathrm{Diag}(\alpha, 1, \alpha^{*-1}) \in K$, we may assume $g = \pi^{-\ell}$ with $\ell \geq 0$. Since $v_\pi(c_1) \geq -\ell$, by the action of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -c_1\pi^\ell\sqrt{\epsilon} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K,$$

we see that $K \cdot x$ contains $\mathrm{Diag}(\pi^\ell, 1, \pi^{-\ell})$.

As for the condition (ii), it suffices to consider the case $a = 0$ by the action of j_3. From (1.6), we have $b = 0$ and hence $c = c^* = \pm 1$ and $d = \pm 1$. Then $c = 1$, $d = -1$ by (1.7). Thus we have

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & f \\ 1 & f^* & g \end{pmatrix}, \qquad 2g + ff^* = 0.$$

Since

$$\mathrm{Diag}(u^{*-1}, 1, u) \cdot x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & u^*f \\ 1 & uf^* & uu^*g \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that

$$K \cdot x \ni \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & h \\ 1 & h & -\frac{1}{2}h^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad h = 0 \text{ or } h = \pi^\ell \quad (\ell \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

If $h = \pi^\ell$ with $\ell \leq 0$, it reduces to the case (i) and we have done. If $h = \pi^\ell$ with $\ell \geq 1$, we see

$$K \cdot x \ni \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{h}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{h^2}{8} & \frac{h}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since we have

$$K \cdot x \ni \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1/2 \\ & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathrm{Diag}(-\frac{1}{2}, 1, -2),$$

and $2 \in N(\mathcal{O}_{k'}^\times)$, we see $K \cdot x \ni 1_3$, which completes the proof of Lemma 1.3. ∎

Lemma 1.4 *Assume the element x of (1.5) satisfies the following condition*

$$ag \neq 0, \quad v_\pi(a) > v_\pi(b), \quad \text{and} \quad v_\pi(g) > v_\pi(f). \tag{1.8}$$

Then the orbit $K \cdot x$ contains the following matrix

$$\begin{aligned} & j_3 + \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{2m}s^{-1} & \pi^m & r\pi^{m+\ell} \\ \pi^m & s & \pi^\ell rs \\ r^*\pi^{m+\ell} & \pi^\ell r^* s & \pi^{2\ell} rr^* s \end{pmatrix}, \quad && m \geq \ell > 0 \\ & && r \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^\times,\ s \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times \\ & && \pi^{m+\ell}(r + r^*) + s + 2 = 0, \\ = \ & j_3 + \frac{1}{s}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^*, \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^m \\ s \\ \pi^\ell r^* s \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $v_\pi(a) \geq v_\pi(g)$. From (1.6b) and (1.6d), we have $aff^* = bb^*g$, which implies

$$v_\pi(a) - v_\pi(g) = 2(v_\pi(b) - v_\pi(f)) \geq 0. \tag{1.10}$$

We show that $v_\pi(c) \geq 0$. If $v_\pi(c) < 0$, then by (1.6a) and (1.8) we have

$$v_\pi(bf) = 2v_\pi(c) \leq -2,$$

and in particular, $v_\pi(f) < 0$ by (1.10). Again in (1.6a), we obtain

$$bf^{-1} + (cf^{-1})^2 = f^{-2} - (af^{-1})(gf^{-1}) \in (\pi).$$

Then, since $bf^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}$, we have $cf^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}$. Hence $(\pi) \ni (cf^{-1} + c^* f^{-1})gf^{*-1}$, which is equal to -1 by (1.6f), and we arrive at the contradiction. Thus $v_\pi(c) \geq 0$. Then, by (1.8) and (1.6f), we see $v_\pi(f) < v_\pi(g) \leq 2v_\pi(f)$, which implies $f \in (\pi)$ and hence $a, b, g \in (\pi)$. Thus by (1.6a) and (1.6e), we obtain

$$c \equiv \pm 1, \quad d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{(\pi)}$$

and by (1.7),

$$c \equiv 1, \quad d \equiv -1 \pmod{(\pi)}. \tag{1.11}$$

By (1.6c) and (1.6f), we obtain

$$v_\pi(a) = 2b_\pi(b) > 0, \quad v_\pi(g) = 2b_\pi(f) > 0.$$

Hence we can assume

$$\begin{aligned} x = & \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{2m} & \pi^m u & c \\ \pi^m u^* & d & \pi^\ell v \\ c^* & \pi^\ell v^* & \pi^{2\ell} w \end{pmatrix}, && m \geq \ell > 0, \\ & && u, v, c \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^\times, \quad w, d \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times, \\ & && c \equiv c^* \equiv 1, d \equiv -1 \pmod{(\pi)}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

and the set of equations (1.6) becomes

$$\pi^{2(m+\ell)}w + \pi^{m+\ell}uv + c^2 = 1, \tag{1.13a}$$

$$c + d = -\pi^{m+\ell}u^{-1}v^*, \tag{1.13b}$$

$$c + c^* = -uu^*, \tag{1.13c}$$

$$c + d = -\pi^{m+\ell}u^*v^{-1}w, \tag{1.13d}$$

$$\pi^{m+\ell}(uv + u^*v^*) + d^2 = 1, \tag{1.13e}$$

$$c + c^* = -vv^*w^{-1}. \tag{1.13f}$$

By (1.13c) and (1.13f), we see $w = (uu^*)^{-1}vv^*$. By (1.7) we see

$$c + c^* + d = 1. \tag{1.14}$$

Then, we see $c = 1 + \pi^{m+\ell}u^{*-1}v$ by (1.13b), and $d = 1 + uu^*$ by (1.13c). Setting $r = u^{-1*}v \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^\times$ and $s = uu^* \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times$, we have $\pi^{m+\ell}(r + r^*) + s + 2 = 0$ by (1.13a) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Diag}(u^{-1}, 1, u^*) \cdot x &= \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{2m}(uu^*)^{-1} & \pi^m & c \\ \pi^m & d & \pi^\ell uv \\ c^* & \pi^\ell u^* v^* & \pi^{2\ell} uu^* w \end{pmatrix} \\
&= j_3 + \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{2m}s^{-1} & \pi^m & \pi^{m+\ell}r \\ \pi^m & s & \pi^\ell rs \\ \pi^{m+\ell}r^* & \pi^\ell r^* s & \pi^{2\ell} rr^* s \end{pmatrix} \\
&= j_3 + \frac{1}{s}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^m \\ s \\ \pi^\ell r^* s \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

which completes the proof. ∎

It is easy to check the matrix in (1.9) is an element of $X \cap K$, i.e. it is integral and the entries satisfies the condition (1.6). The following statement finally shows Theorem 1.1 in the case $n = 1$.

Lemma 1.5 *The K-orbit containing the matrix $j_3 + \frac{1}{s}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^*$ in (1.9) contains 1_3.*

Proof. For any $k \in K$, we have

$$k \cdot (j_3 + \frac{1}{s}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^*) = j_3 + \frac{1}{s}(k\mathbf{v})(k\mathbf{v})^*.$$

If the second entry $(k\mathbf{v})_2$ of $k\mathbf{v}$ becomes 0, we see

$$k \cdot (j_3 + \frac{1}{s}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ * & 0 & * \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfies the assumption of Lemma 1.3, hence it is diagonalized to 1_3.

In the following we will show that there exists $k \in K$ for which $(k\mathbf{v})_2 = 0$ and of the form

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b^* & c \\ & 1 & b \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & -d^* \\ 1 & d & f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ b & 1 + bd & bf - d^* \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.16}$$

For the matrix of the form (1.16), $k \in K$ if and only if $b, c, d, f \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}$ and $bb^* + c + c^* = dd^* + f + f^* = 0$. For simplicity, we put $y = s^{-1}\pi^{m-\ell}(r + r^*) \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times$. We define a unit $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times$ by

$$\gamma = \frac{-\rho rr^*}{2\rho + y\rho^2 - \pi^{2\ell}}, \tag{1.17}$$

where

$$\rho = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_\pi(y) > 0 \\ -y^{-1} & \text{if } v_\pi(y) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad 2\rho + y\rho^2 - \pi^{2\ell} \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times.$$

Since $\rho\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times$, we can take $b \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^\times$ such as

$$bb^* = \rho\gamma. \tag{1.18}$$

Further we put

$$\begin{aligned} c &= -bb^*/2, \\ d &= b^{-1}(-1 + \pi^\ell (b^* r)^{-1}\gamma), \\ f &= -\pi^{m-\ell} r^{*-1} s^{-1} - \pi^{-\ell}(br^*)^{-1}(1+bd) + b^{-1}d^*. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $b, c, d, f \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}$, where we use the relation

$$1 + bd = \pi^\ell (b^* r)^{-1}\gamma. \tag{1.19}$$

It is trivial that $bb^* + c + c^* = 0$. We show that $dd^* + f + f^* = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} rr^* bb^* dd^* &= rr^*(-1 + (b^* r)^{-1}\gamma\pi^\ell)(-1 + (br^*)^{-1}\gamma\pi^\ell) \\ &= rr^* - (b^{*-1}r^* + b^{-1}r)\gamma\pi^\ell + (bb^*)^{-1}\gamma^2\pi^{2\ell}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

On the other hand, using (1.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} rr^* bb^*(f + f^*) &= -s^{-1}\pi^{m-\ell} bb^* r - b^*(1+bd)\pi^{-\ell} r + b^* d^* rr^* \\ &\quad - s^{-1}\pi^{m-\ell} bb^* r^* - b(1 + b^* d^*)\pi^{-\ell} r^* + bdrr^* \\ &= -s^{-1}\pi^{m-\ell} bb^*(r + r^*) + (bd + b^* d^*)rr^* - 2\gamma \\ &= -ybb^* + ((b^* r)^{-1}\gamma\pi^\ell + (br^*)^{-1}\gamma\pi^\ell - 2)rr^* - 2\gamma \\ &= -ybb^* + (b^{*-1}r^* + b^{-1}r)\gamma\pi^\ell - 2rr^* - 2\gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{1.21}$$

Combining (1.17), (1.18), (1.20) and (1.21), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} rr^* bb^*(dd^* + f + f^*) &= (bb^*)^{-1}\gamma^2\pi^{2\ell} - ybb^* - rr^* - 2\gamma \\ &= (\rho\gamma)^{-1}\gamma^2\pi^{2\ell} - y\rho\gamma - rr^* - 2\gamma \\ &= -\rho^{-1}\gamma(y\rho^2 + rr^*\rho\gamma^{-1} + 2\rho - \pi^{2\ell}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that $dd^* + f + f^* = 0$, and hence $k \in K$.

By definition of f, we obtain

$$bf - d^* = -\pi^{m-\ell} bs^{-1} r^{*-1} - \pi^{-\ell}(1+bd)r^{*-1},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (k\mathbf{v})_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} b & 1+bd & bf - d^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi^m \\ s \\ s\pi^\ell r^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= b\pi^m + (1+bd)s - b\pi^m - (1+bd)s \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

∎

1.3. In the following we will show Theorem 1.1 in the case $n \geq 2$. Our strategy is the same as in [HK]. We often use elements in $B \cap K$, $j_{2n+1}Bj_{2n+1} \cap K$ or

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & u & \\ & & j_n A^{*-1} j_n \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; A \in GL_n(\mathcal{O}_{k'}), u \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^1 \right\} (\subset K).$$

For $a = (a_{ij}) \in M_{2n+1}(k')$, we set

$$-\ell(a) = \min \{ v_\pi(a_{ij}) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq 2n+1 \},$$

and say an entry of a to be *minimal* if its v_π-value is $-\ell(a)$. For $g \in G$, we see $\ell(g) \geq 0$, since $v_\pi(\det(g)) = 0$.

Lemma 1.6 *Let $n \geq 2$ and assume that $x \in X_n$ has a minimal entry in the diagonal except the $(n+1, n+1)$-entry. Then $K \cdot x$ contains a hermitian matrix of the type*

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} \pi^\ell & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & y & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \pi^{-\ell} \end{array} \right), \qquad y \in X_{n-1} \cap M_{2n-1}(\pi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{k'}),$$

where $\ell = \ell(x)$.

Proof. By the action of W, we may assume the $(2n+1, 2n+1)$-entry is minimal. Then, it is easy to see that $K \cdot x$ contains an element x' as follows

$$x' = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|c} a & a_2 & \cdots & a_{2n} & b \\ \hline a_2^* & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & y & & \vdots \\ a_{2n}^* & & & & 0 \\ \hline b^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \pi^{-\ell} \end{array} \right).$$

By the relation $x' j_{2n+1} x' j_{2n+1} = 1_{2n+1}$ we see $b + b^* = 0$, hence we may assume $b = 0$ by the action of $K \cap B$. Then, still by the same relation, we have $a = \pi^\ell$ and $a_i = 0$, $i \geq 2$. At that time $y = y^* \in M_{2n-1}(\pi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{k'})$ and

$$(t^2-1)^n(t-1) = \Phi_{xj_{2n+1}}(t) = (t^2-1)\Phi_{yj_{2n-1}},$$

which completes the proof. ∎

The following two lemmas can be shown in the same way as in [HK, Lemma 1.4 and 1.5], so we omit the proof.

Lemma 1.7 *Let $n \geq 2$ and assume that $x \in X_n$ has a minimal entry outside of the diagonal, the anti-diagonal, the $(n+1)$th row, and the $(n+1)$th column. Then $K \cdot x$ contains a hermitian matrix of type*

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c|cc} \pi^\ell & 0 & 0 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{0} \\ 0 & \pi^\ell & & & \\ \hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{0} & y & \multicolumn{2}{c}{0} \\ \hline & & 0 & \pi^{-\ell} & 0 \\ \multicolumn{2}{c|}{0} & & 0 & \pi^{-\ell} \end{array} \right), \qquad y \in X_{n-2} \cap M_{2n-3}(\pi^{-\ell}\mathcal{O}_{k'}),$$

where $\ell = \ell(x)$.

Lemma 1.8 *Let $x \in X_n$ with $n \geq 2$. Assume that any minimal entry of $x \in X_n$ stands in the anti-diagonal except the $(n+1, n+1)$-entry but some entries of the anti-diagonal except the $(n+1, n+1)$-entry are not minimal. Then $K \cdot x$ contains a hermitian matrix of the same type as in Lemma 1.7.*

Lemma 1.9 *Let $x \in X_n$ with $n \geq 2$. Assume that any minimal entry of $x \in X_n$ stands in the anti-diagonal or, the $(n+1)$th row or, the $(n+1)$th column and that no other entries are minimal. Then $K \cdot x$ contains a hermitian matrix of the type*

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & y & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1_2 \end{array}\right) \in K, \quad y \in X_{n-2} \cap M_{2n-3}(\mathcal{O}_{k'}).$$

Proof. By the action of W, the minimal element, say α, among $(j, n+1)$-entries for $1 \leq j \leq 2n+1$, $j \neq n+1$ can be moved to $(1, n+1)$. Then by appropriate elements of K, all the entries $(j, n+1)$ for $2 \leq j \leq 2n$, $j \neq n+1$ are eliminated. Thus we can assume that

$$x = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc|ccccc} & & & & & \alpha & & & & \xi_1 \\ & & * & & & 0 & & * & \iddots & \\ & & & & & \vdots & & \iddots & * & \\ & & & & & 0 & \xi_n & & & \\ \hline \alpha^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & & u & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \kappa^* \\ \hline & & & & \xi_n^* & 0 & & & & \\ & & * & \iddots & & \vdots & & & & \\ & \iddots & & * & & 0 & & & * & \\ \xi_1^* & & & & & \kappa & & & & \end{array}\right),$$

where $v_\pi(\alpha) \leq v_\pi(\kappa)$. Let $\ell = \ell(x)$. By $x j_{2n+1} x j_{2n+1} = 1_{2n+1}$, we have the set of equations

$$\alpha\kappa^* + \xi_1^2 \equiv 1, \qquad \alpha\alpha^* \equiv 0, \qquad \kappa\kappa^* \equiv 0 \pmod{(\pi^{-2\ell+1})}, \tag{1.23}$$

$$u^2 + \alpha\kappa^* + \alpha^*\kappa = 1, \tag{1.24}$$

which arise respectively from $(1,1)$, $(1, 2n+1)$, $(2n+1, 1)$ and $(n+1, n+1)$-entries. Since $\alpha\alpha^*, \kappa\kappa^* \in (\pi^{-2\ell+1})$, we see $\alpha, \kappa \in (\pi^{-\ell+1})$ and they are not minimal elements.

Look at the identity (1.24). If $v_\pi(u) < 0$, then $2v_\pi(u) = v_\pi(\alpha\kappa^* + \alpha^*\kappa) \geq -2\ell + 2$ and u is not minimal. If $v_\pi(u) \geq 0$, there must be a minimal entry among $\{\xi_i\}$ (otherwise x is degenerate). Hence in any cases, by Lemma 1.8, we may assume all the ξ_i are minimal, i.e., $0 \geq v_\pi(\xi_i) = -\ell$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Then by (1.24) and (1,1)-entry of $x j_{2n+1} x j_{2n+1}$, we see $\xi_1^2 - u^2 \in (\pi^{-2\ell+2})$, hence $\xi_1 - u$ or $\xi_1 + u$ is contained in $(\pi^{-\ell+1})$, and $v_\pi(u) = v_\pi(\xi_1) = -\ell$. By the above argument, we have $v_\pi(u) = v_\pi(\xi_i) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, since $u^2 \equiv \xi_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{(\pi)}$, we see $u \equiv \pm 1$, $\xi_i \equiv \xi_i^* \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{(\pi)}$.

By the condition of the characteristic polynomial, we have

$$(t^2-1)^n(t-1) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t-\xi_i)^2 (t-u) \pmod{(\pi)},$$

and we see $\xi_i \not\equiv \xi_j$ for some i, j. Then by the same argument as in [HK, Lemma 1.6 (ii)], we finally obtain the assertion. ∎

Now Lemmas 1.3 to 1.9 complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.10 *If k has even residual characteristic, then there are some K-orbits without any diagonal matrix. In fact, the following matrix is contained in X_n and can not be diagonalized, for $n = r + s,\ s > 0$:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1_r & & & & \\ & & & -j_{\frac{s}{2}} & \\ & & j_{s+1} & & \\ & -j_{\frac{s}{2}} & & & \\ & & & & 1_r \end{pmatrix} \quad (\textit{if } 2 \mid s), \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1_r & & & & \\ & & & j_{\frac{s+1}{2}} & \\ & & -j_s & & \\ & j_{\frac{s+1}{2}} & & & \\ & & & & 1_r \end{pmatrix} \quad (\textit{if } 2 \not\mid s).$$

Proof. We show this assertion by contradiction in a slightly general situation. Set

$$x = x^* \in K \text{ such that } x \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1_r & & \\ & j_{2s+1} & \\ & & 1_r \end{pmatrix} \pmod{(\pi)}, \quad r + s = n,\ s > 0$$

and assume there exists an element $g \in K$ which diagonalizes x and write down

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 \\ k_1 & k_2 & k_3 \\ h_1 & h_2 & h_3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{array}{l} g_1, h_1, g_3, h_3 \in M_{r+s,r}(\mathcal{O}_{k'}),\ k_1, k_3 \in M_{1,r}(\mathcal{O}_{k'}) \\ k_2 \in M_{1,2s+1}(\mathcal{O}_{k'}),\ g_2, h_2 \in M_{r+s,2s+1}(\mathcal{O}_{k'}). \end{array}$$

Then, since $g \cdot x$ is diagonal in K, we can assume $g \cdot x = 1_{2n+1}$. Since $g^* = j_{2n+1} g^{-1} j_{2n+1}$, we have

$$g \begin{pmatrix} & & j_r \\ & 1_{2s+1} & \\ j_r & & \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} & & j_{r+s} \\ & 1 & \\ j_{r+s} & & \end{pmatrix} g \pmod{(\pi)},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} g &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 \\ k_1 & k_2 & k_1 j_r \\ j_{r+s} g_3 j_r & j_{r+s} g_2 & j_{r+s} g_1 j_r \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r+s+1} & \\ & j_{r+s} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 j_r \\ k_1 & k_2 & k_1 \\ g_3 j_r & g_2 & g_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1_{r+2s+1} & \\ & j_r \end{pmatrix} \pmod{(\pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rank} g \pmod{(\pi)} &= \operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} g_1 + g_3 j_r & 0 & g_1 + g_3 j_r \\ k_1 & k_2 & k_1 \\ g_3 j_r & g_2 & g_1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{(\pi)} \\ &= \operatorname{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & g_1 + g_3 j_r \\ 0 & k_2 & k_1 \\ g_1 + g_3 j_r & g_2 & g_1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{(\pi)} \\ &\leq 2r + s + 1 < n, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to $g \in K$.

1.4. In this subsection, we give the G-orbit decomposition of X_n. First we recall the case of unramified hermitian matrices. It is known that there are precisely two $GL_m(k')$-orbits in $\mathcal{H}_m(k')$ for $m \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_m(k') &= GL_m(k') \cdot 1_m \sqcup GL_m(k') \cdot \pi^{(1,0,\ldots,0)}, \\ &= \left(\sqcup_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_m \\ |\mu| \, is \, even}} GL_m(\mathcal{O}_{k'}) \cdot \pi^{\mu} \right) \sqcup \left(\sqcup_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_m \\ |\mu| \, is \, odd}} GL_m(\mathcal{O}_{k'}) \cdot \pi^{\mu} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{1.25}$$

where $|\mu| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i$.

Theorem 1.11 *There are precisely two G-orbits in X_n :*

$$G \cdot x_0 = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+ \\ |\lambda| \, is \, even}} K \cdot x_\lambda, \quad G \cdot x_1 = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+ \\ |\lambda| \, is \, odd}} K \cdot x_\lambda.$$

where $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$, $x_0 = 1_{2n+1}$ and $x_1 = \mathrm{Diag}(\pi, 1, \ldots, 1, \pi^{-1})$.

Proof. First we see that there are at most two G-orbits in X_n by Theorem 1.1 and (1.25). We extend the k-automorphism $*$ of k' to an element of $\Gamma = Gal(\overline{k}/k)$ and the action of G on X to $G(\overline{k})$ on $X(\overline{k})$, and write by the same symbol. We recall $X(\overline{k})$ is a single $G(\overline{k})$-orbit, and set

$$H(\overline{k}) = \left\{ h \in G(\overline{k}) \;\middle|\; h \cdot 1_{2n+1} = 1_{2n+1} \right\}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\overline{k}) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(A+B) & \lambda c & \frac{1}{2}(B-A)j_n \\ \lambda^* d & f & \lambda^* d j_n \\ \frac{1}{2} j_n (B-A) j_n & j_n \lambda c & \frac{1}{2} j_n (A+B) j_n \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; A \in U(1_n)(\overline{k}), \; \begin{pmatrix} B & c \\ d & f \end{pmatrix} \in U(1_{n+1})(\overline{k}) \right\} \\ &\cong U(1_n)(\overline{k}) \times U(1_{n+1})(\overline{k}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{\times}$ such that $\lambda \lambda^* = \frac{1}{2}$. By the exact sequence of Γ-sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & H(\overline{k}) & \longrightarrow & G(\overline{k}) & \longrightarrow & X_n(\overline{k}) \longrightarrow 1, \\ & & & & g & \longmapsto & g \cdot 1_{2n+1} \end{array}$$

we have an exact sequence of pointed sets (cf. [Se, I-§5.4])

$$1 \longrightarrow G \cdot 1_{2n+1} \longrightarrow X_n \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, H(\overline{k})) \xrightarrow{\eta} H^1(\Gamma, G(\overline{k})),$$

and it is known that $H^1(\Gamma, U(y)(\overline{k})) \cong C_2$ for any $y \in \mathcal{H}_m(k')$, $m \geq 1$. Since η is a map from $C_2 \times C_2$ to C_2, $\mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$ cannot be trivial and $G \cdot 1_{2n+1} \neq X_n$. Hence there are at least two G-orbits in X_n, thus exactly two G-orbits and they are given as above. ∎

§2 Spherical function $\omega(x;s)$ on X

2.1. We introduce a spherical function $\omega(x;s)$ on X by Poisson transform from relative B-invariants. For a matrix $g \in G$, denote by $d_i(g)$ the determinant of lower right i by i block of g. Then $d_i(x),\ 1 \leq i \leq n$ are relative B-invariants on X associated with rational characters ψ_i of B, where

$$d_i(p \cdot x) = \psi_i(p) d_i(x), \quad \psi_i(p) = N_{k'/k}(d_i(p)), \quad (x \in X,\ p \in B). \tag{2.1}$$

We set

$$X^{op} = \{\, x \in X \mid d_i(x) \neq 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq n \}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

then $X^{op}(\overline{k})$ is a Zariski open $B(\overline{k})$-orbit, where $\overline{k}$ is the algebraic closure of k. For $x \in X$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we consider the integral

$$\omega(x;s) = \int_K |\mathbf{d}(k \cdot x)|^s \, dk, \quad |\mathbf{d}(y)|^s = \prod_{i=1}^n |d_i(y)|^{s_i}\,, \tag{2.3}$$

where dk is the normalized Haar measure on K, and k runs over the set $\{\, k \in K \mid k \cdot x \in X^{op} \}$. The right hand side of (2.3) is absolutely convergent if $\mathrm{Re}(s_i) \geq 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq n$, and continued to a rational function of $q^{s_1}, \ldots, q^{s_n}$, and we use the notation $\omega(x;s)$ in such sense. We call $\omega(x;s)$ a spherical function on X, since it becomes an $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$-common eigenfunction on X (cf. [H2, §1], or [H4, §1]). Indeed, $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$ is a commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra spanned by all the characteristic functions of double cosets $KgK, g \in G$ by definition, and we see

$$\begin{aligned} (f * \omega(\ ;s))(x) \quad \big(&= \int_G f(g)\omega(g^{-1} \cdot x; s) dg \big) \\ &= \lambda_s(f)\omega(x;s), \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where dg is the Haar measure on G normalized by $\int_K dg = 1$, and λ_s is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_s : \mathcal{H}(G,K) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(q^{s_1}, \ldots, q^{s_n}), \\ f &\longmapsto \int_B f(p)\, |\psi(p)|^{-s}\, \delta(p) dp. \end{aligned}$$

Here dp is the left-invariant measure on P such that $\int_{P \cap K} dp = 1$ and $\delta(p)$ is the modulus character of dp ($d(pq) = \delta(q)^{-1} dp$).

We introduce a new variable z which is related to s by

$$s_i = -z_i + z_{i+1} - 1 + \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad s_n = -z_n - 1 + \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2\log q} \tag{2.5}$$

and write $\omega(x;z) = \omega(x;s)$. We see

$$|\psi(p)|^s = (-1)^{v_\pi(p_1 \cdots p_n)} \prod_{i=1}^n \left|N_{k'/k}(p_i)\right|^{z_i} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(p), \quad p \in B, \tag{2.6}$$

where p_i is the i-the diagonal entry of p, $1 \leq i \leq n$. The Weyl group W of G with respect to the maximal k-split torus in B acts on rational characters of B as usual (i.e., $\sigma(\psi)(b) = \psi(n_\sigma^{-1} b n_\sigma)$ by taking a representative n_σ of σ), so W acts on $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and on $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ as well. We will determine the functional equations of $\omega(x; s)$ with respect to this Weyl group action. The group W is isomorphic to $S_n \ltimes C_2^n$, S_n acts on z by permutation of indices, and W is generated by S_n and $\tau : (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \longmapsto (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}, -z_n)$. Keeping the relation (2.5), we also write $\lambda_z(f) = \lambda_s(f)$. Then the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra map λ_z is an isomorphism (the Satake isomorphism)

$$\lambda_z \ : \ \mathcal{H}(G, K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 2z_1}, \ldots, q^{\pm 2z_n}]^W, \tag{2.7}$$

where the ring of the right hand side is the invariant subring of the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[q^{2z_1}, q^{-2z_1}, \ldots, q^{2z_n}, q^{-2z_n}]$ by W.

By using a result on spherical functions on the space of hermitian forms, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.1 *The function $G_1(z) \cdot \omega(x; s)$ is invariant under the action of S_n on z, where*

$$G_1(z) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1 + q^{z_i - z_j}}{1 - q^{z_i - z_j - 1}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. By the embedding

$$K_0 = GL_n(\mathcal{O}_{k'}) \longrightarrow K, \quad h \longmapsto \widetilde{h} = \begin{pmatrix} jh^{*-1}j & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & h \end{pmatrix},$$

and the normalized Haar measure dh on K_0, we obtain, for $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $\mathrm{Re}(s_i) \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x; z) &= \omega(x; s) = \int_{K_0} dh \int_K |\mathbf{d}(k \cdot x)|^s \, dk \\ &= \int_{K_0} dh \int_K \left|\mathbf{d}(\widetilde{h} k \cdot x)\right|^s dk = \int_K \int_{K_0} \left|\mathbf{d}(\widetilde{h} k \cdot x)\right|^s dh dk \\ &= \int_K \zeta_*^{(h)}(D(k \cdot x); s) dk. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Here $D(k \cdot x)$ is the lower right n by n block of $k \cdot x$ for $\{ k \in K \mid k \cdot x \in X^{op} \}$, and $\zeta_*^{(h)}(y; s)$ is a spherical function on $\mathcal{H}_n(k')$ defined by

$$\zeta_*^{(h)}(y; s) = \int_{K_0} |\mathbf{d}(h \cdot y)|^s \, dh, \quad (h \cdot y = hyh^*),$$

where h runs over the set $\{ h \in K_0 \mid d_i(h \cdot y) \neq 0, \ 1 \leq i \leq n \}$. Then the assertion of Theorem 2.1 follows from the next proposition. We recall a similar spherical function on $\mathcal{H}_n(k')$ and its functional equation, where we keep the definition of $G_1(z)$ and the relation between s and z as before. ∎

Proposition 2.2 *For any $y \in \mathcal{H}_n(k')$, the function $G_1(z) \cdot \zeta_*^{(h)}(y;s)$ is holomorphic for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and invariant under the action of S_n, where the relation between z and s is the same as in (2.5).*

Proof. In [H2, §2], we have considered the following spherical function on $\mathcal{H}_n(k')$

$$\zeta^{(h)}(y;s) = \int_{K_0} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left|\widehat{d}_i(h \cdot y)\right|^{s_i} dh,$$

where $\widehat{d}_i(y)$ is the determinant of upper left i by i block of y, and shown that the function $G_1(z) \cdot \zeta^{(h)}(y;s)$ is holomorphic for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and invariant under the action of S_n. Here we note $z_i - z_j = -(s_i + \cdots + s_{j-1}) - (j-i) - (j-i)\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}$ is determined by the relation of $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ in (2.5). Since $d_i(y) = \det(y)\widehat{d}_{n-i}(y^{-1})$, we see in s-variable

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_*^{(h)}(y;s) &= \int_{K_0} |\det(h \cdot y)|^{\sum_{i=1}^n s_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left|\widehat{d}_{n-i}(h^{*-1} \cdot y^{-1})\right|^{s_i} dh \\ &= \zeta^{(h)}(y^{-1}; s_{n-1}, \ldots, s_1, -(s_1 + \cdots + s_n)) \\ &\Big(= \zeta^{(h)}(y^{-1}; s'), \, say \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Let w be the z-variable corresponding to the above s' under the relation (2.5). Then $w_i - w_j = z_{n-j+1} - z_{n-i+1}$ for $1 \le i < j \le n$, and $G_1(w) = G_1(z)$. Hence $G_1(z) \cdot \zeta_*^{(h)}(y;s) = G_1(w) \cdot \zeta^{(h)}(y^{-1}; s')$ is holomorphic and S_n-invariant. ∎

2.2. In this subsection, we consider the case $n = 1$ and show the following.

Proposition 2.3 *Assume $n = 1$. For $x_\ell = \mathrm{Diag}(\pi^\ell, 1, \pi^{-\ell})$, $\ell \ge 0$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x_\ell; s) &= \frac{(1+q^{-3-2s})}{(1+q^{-3})(1-q^{-4-4s})} \left\{ q^{\ell s}(1-q^{-4-2s}) - q^{-2(\ell+1)-\ell s}(1-q^{-2s}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{\ell} q^{-\ell}(1-q^{-1+2z})}{(1+q^{-3})(1+q^{2z})} \left\{ q^{-\ell z} \frac{(1+q^{-2+2z})}{1-q^{2z}} + q^{\ell z} \frac{(1+q^{-2-2z})}{1-q^{-2z}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s = -z - 1 - \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2\log q}$, and for any $x \in X_1$

$$\frac{1+q^{2z}}{1-q^{-1+2z}} \cdot \omega(x;z) \in \mathbb{C}[q^z + q^{-z}], \quad \omega(x;z) = \frac{1-q^{-1+2z}}{q^{2z}-q^{-1}} \cdot \omega(x;-z).$$

Lemma 2.4 *For $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_k^\times$ and $r \ge 0$, set $A(\xi;r) = \{ x \in \mathcal{O}_{k'} \mid v_\pi(N(x) - \xi) = r \}$. By the Haar measure on k' normalized by $vol(\mathcal{O}_{k'}) = 1$, it holds*

$$vol(A(\xi;r)) = \begin{cases} 1 - q^{-1} - q^{-2} & \text{if } r = 0, \\ (1-q^{-2})q^{-r} & \text{if } r > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Assume $r > 0$. Since the norm map $N : \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_k^{\times}$ is surjective, the induced map

$$\overline{N} : \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{\times}/\mathrm{mod}(\pi^{r+1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_k^{\times}/\mathrm{mod}(\pi^{r+1})$$

is surjective and $((q+1)q^r : 1)$-map. Thus we see the set

$$A(\xi; r) = \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{\times} \cap A(\xi; r)$$

consists of $(q^2-1)q^r$ cosets $\mathrm{mod}(\pi^{r+1})$, hence $vol(A(\xi;r)) = (1-q^{-2})q^{-r}$. On the other hand, we see the set

$$A(\xi; 0) = \pi\mathcal{O}_{k'} \cup \{\, x \in \mathcal{O}_{k'} \mid N(x) \not\equiv \xi \bmod(\pi)\},$$

and it consists of $1 + \{(q^2-1) - (q+1)\} = q^2 - q - 1$ cosets $\mathrm{mod}(\pi)$, hence $vol(A(\xi;0)) = 1 - q^{-1} - q^{-2}$. ∎

Proof of Proposition 2.3. We recall Lemma 1.2. It is easy to see that $vol(K_{1,1}) : vol(K_{1,2}) = 1 : q^{-3}$. For $k \in K_{1,2}$ expressed as in Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d_1(k \cdot x_\ell)| &= \left|\pi^\ell N(c) + N(cd - b^*) + \pi^{-\ell} N(1 + b^* d^* + cf)\right| \\ &= |\pi|^{-\ell} \left|\pi^{2\ell} N(c) + \pi^\ell N(cd - b^*) + N(1 + b^* d^* + cf)\right| = |\pi|^{-\ell} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{K_{1,2}} |d_1(k \cdot x_\ell)|^s \, dk = \frac{q^{-3+\ell s}}{1+q^{-3}}. \tag{2.10}$$

For $k \in K_{1,1}$ expressed as in Lemma 1.2, we have

$$|d_1(k \cdot x_\ell)| = \left|\pi^\ell + N(b) + \pi^{-\ell}(\frac{N(b)^2}{4} - c_0^2\epsilon)\right| = |\pi|^{-\ell} \left|(\pi^\ell + \frac{N(b)}{2})^2 - c_0^2\epsilon\right|,$$

and

$$\int_{K_{1,1}} |d_1(k \cdot x_\ell)|^s \, dk \;=\; \frac{q^{\ell s}}{1+q^{-3}} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{k'}} db \int_{\mathcal{O}_k} dc_0 \left|(\pi^\ell + \frac{N(b)}{2})^2 - c_0^2\epsilon\right|^s.$$

Assume ℓ is even and positive. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+q^{-3})q^{-\ell s} \int_{K_{1,1}} |d_1(k \cdot x_\ell)|^s \, dk \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{\ell}{2}-1} \int_{\pi^r \mathcal{O}_{k'}^{\times}} db \int_{\mathcal{O}_k} dc_0 \left|(\pi^\ell + \frac{N(b)}{2})^2 - c_0^2\epsilon\right|^s + q^{-\ell} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{k'}} db \int_{\mathcal{O}_k} dc_0 \left|\pi^{2\ell}(1 + \frac{N(b)}{2})^2 - c_0^2\epsilon\right|^s \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{\ell}{2}-1} (1-q^{-2})q^{-2r} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{2r-1} (1-q^{-1})q^{-m-2ms} + q^{-2r-4rs}\right) \\ &\quad + (1-q^{-1}-q^{-2})q^{-\ell} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\ell-1} (1-q^{-1})q^{-m-2ms} + q^{-\ell-2\ell s}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r \geq 1} (1-q^{-2})q^{-(\ell+r)} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\ell+r-1} (1-q^{-1})q^{-m-2ms} + q^{-(\ell+r)-2(\ell+r)s}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.4. Further, using the following equation

$$\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}(1-q^{-1})q^{-m-2ms}+q^{-k-2ks}=\frac{(1-q^{-1})+q^{-(k+1)-2ks}(1-q^{-2s})}{1-q^{-1-2s}}, \tag{2.11}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-q^{-1-2s})(1+q^{-3})q^{-\ell s}\int_{K_{1,1}}|d_1(k\cdot x_\ell)|^s\,dk\\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\ell/2-1}(1-q^{-2})q^{-2r}\left((1-q^{-1})+(1-q^{-2s})q^{-(2r+1+4rs)}\right)\\
&\quad +(1-q^{-1}-q^{-2})q^{-\ell}\left((1-q^{-1})+(1-q^{-2s})q^{-(\ell+1+2\ell s)}\right)\\
&\quad +\sum_{r\geq 1}(1-q^{-2})q^{-(\ell+r)}\left((1-q^{-1})+(1-q^{-2s})q^{-(\ell+r+1+2(\ell+r)s)}\right)\\
&= (1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-\ell})+(1-q^{-2})q^{-1}(1-q^{-2s})\frac{1-q^{-2\ell-2\ell s}}{1-q^{-4-4s}}\\
&\quad +(1-2q^{-1}+q^{-3})q^{-\ell}+(1-q^{-1}-q^{-2})q^{-(2\ell+1)-2\ell s}(1-q^{-2s})\\
&\quad +(1-q^{-2})q^{-(\ell+1)}+(1-q^{-2})q^{-(2\ell+1)-2\ell s}(1-q^{-2s})\frac{q^{-2-2s}}{1-q^{-2-2s}}\\
&= 1-q^{-1}+(1-q^{-2s})\\
&\quad \times\left(\frac{(q^{-1}-q^{-3})(1-q^{-2\ell-2\ell s})}{1-q^{-4-4s}}+(q^{-1}-q^{-2}-q^{-3})q^{-2\ell-2\ell s}+(q^{-1}-q^{-3})\frac{q^{-2(\ell+1)-2(\ell+1)s}}{1-q^{-2-2s}}\right)\\
&= \frac{1-q^{-1+2s}}{1-q^{-4-4s}}\left(1-q^{-3}+q^{-3-2s}-q^{-4-2s}-q^{-2(\ell+1)-2\ell s}(1-q^{-2s})(1+q^{-3-2s})\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

We note here that (2.12) holds for $\ell=0$ by a direct calculation. Hence, we obtain for even ℓ

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_K|d_1(k\cdot x_\ell)|^s\,dk\\
&= \frac{(1+q^{-3-2s})q^{\ell s}}{(1+q^{-3})(1-q^{-4-4s})}\left\{(1-q^{-4-2s})-q^{-2\ell-2\ell s}(q^{-2}-q^{-2-2s})\right\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

Changing the variable from s to z by the relation $s=-z-1+\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2\log q}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_K|d_1(k\cdot x_\ell)|^s\,dk\\
&= \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{\ell}q^{-\ell}(1-q^{-1+2z})}{(1+q^{-3})(1-q^{4z})}\left\{q^{-\ell z}(1+q^{2z-2})-q^{\ell z}(q^{-2}+q^{2z})\right\}\\
&= \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{\ell}q^{-\ell}(1-q^{-1+2z})}{(1+q^{-3})(1+q^{2z})}\left\{q^{-\ell z}\frac{(1+q^{-2+2z})}{1-q^{2z}}+q^{\ell z}\frac{(1+q^{-2-2z})}{1-q^{-2z}}\right\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

Assume ℓ is odd. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1+q^{-3})q^{-\ell s}\int_{K_{1,1}}|d_1(k\cdot x_\ell)|^s\,dk\\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}\int_{\pi^r\mathcal{O}_{k'}}db\int_{\mathcal{O}_k}dc_0\left|(\pi^\ell+\frac{N(b)}{2})^2-c_0^2\varepsilon\right|^s+q^{-(\ell+1)}\int_{\mathcal{O}_{k'}}db\int_{\mathcal{O}_k}dc_0\left|\pi^{2\ell}(1+\pi\frac{N(b)}{2})^2-c_0^2\varepsilon\right|^s\\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}(1-q^{-2})q^{-2r}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{2r-1}(1-q^{-1})q^{-m-2ms}+q^{-2r-4rs}\right)\\
&\qquad +q^{-(\ell+1)}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\ell-1}(1-q^{-1})q^{-m-2ms}+q^{-\ell-2\ell s}\right)\quad\text{(by Lemma 2.4)}\\
&= \frac{1}{1-q^{-1-2s}}\Big\{\sum_{r=0}^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}(1-q^{-2})q^{-2r}\left(1-q^{-1}+q^{-(2r+1)-2rs}(1-q^{-2s})\right)\\
&\qquad +q^{-(\ell+1)}\left(1-q^{-1}+q^{-(\ell+1)-2\ell s}(1-q^{-2s}\right)\Big\}\quad\text{(by (2.11))}\\
&= \frac{1}{1-q^{-1-2s}}\Big\{(1-q^{-1})+(q^{-1}-q^{-3})(1-q^{-2s})\frac{1-q^{2(\ell+1)(1+s)}}{1-q^{-4-4s}}+(1-q^{-2s})q^{-2(\ell+1)-2\ell s}\Big\}\\
&= \frac{1}{1-q^{-4-4s}}\Big\{(1-q^{-3}+q^{-3-2s}-q^{-4-2s})+q^{-2(\ell+1)-2\ell s}(1-q^{-2s})(1+q^{-3-2s})\Big\},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_K|d_1(k\cdot x_\ell)|^s\,dk\\
&= \frac{(1+q^{-3-2s})q^{\ell s}}{(1+q^{-3})(1-q^{-4-4s})}\Big\{(1-q^{-4-2s})+q^{-2\ell-2\ell s}(q^{-2}-q^{-2-2s})\Big\}\\
&= \frac{\sqrt{-1}^\ell q^{-\ell}(1-q^{-1+2z})}{(1+q^{-3})(1-q^{4z})}\left\{q^{-\ell z}(1+q^{2z-2})-q^{\ell z}(q^{-2}+q^{2z})\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have the same identity (2.14) for odd ℓ also. Hence we see

$$\frac{1+q^{2z}}{1-q^{-1+2z}}\cdot\omega(x,z)\in\mathbb{C}[q^z+q^{-z}],$$

for any $x\in X_1$, which gives the functional equation with respect to z and $-z$ (i.e. s and $-s-2-\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}$ in s-variable). ∎

2.3. We assume $n\geq 2$. In this subsection, we give the functional equation of $\omega(x;s)$ for $\tau\in W$.

Theorem 2.5 *For general size n, the spherical function satisfies the functional equation*

$$\omega(x;z)=\frac{1-q^{-1+2z_n}}{q^{2z_n}-q^{-1}}\omega(x;\tau(z)),$$

where $\tau(z)=(z_1,\ldots,z_{n-1},-z_n)$.

We take the same strategy as in [HK]. Set

$$w_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n-1} & & \\ & j_3 & \\ & & 1_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

then the standard parabolic subgroup P attached to τ is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} P &= B \cup Bw_\tau B \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & u & \\ & & j_{n-1}A^{*-1}j_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n-1} & \alpha j_3 & Bj_{n-1} \\ & 1_3 & -\alpha^* j_{n-1} \\ & & 1_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} A \in GL_{n-1}(k'); \text{upper triangular} \\ u \in G_1 = U(j_3), \alpha \in M_{n-1,3}(k') \\ B \in M_{n-1}(k') \\ B + B^* + \alpha j_3 \alpha^* = 0 \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Here, $d_i(x), 1 \le i \le n-1$ are relative P-invariants, but $d_n(x)$ is not. So we enlarge the group and space and consider the action of $P' = P \times GL_1(k')$ on $X' = X \times V$ with $V = M_{31}(k')$:

$$(p,r) \star (x,v) = (p \cdot x, \rho(p)vr^{-1}), \quad (p,r) \in P',\ (x,v) \in X',$$

where $\rho(p) = u \in U(j_3)$ for the decomposition of $p \in P$ as in (2.15).

We denote by $g_{(n+2)}$ the lower right $(n+2) \times (n+2)$-block of $g \in G(\subset GL_{2n+1})$. Set

$$g(x,v) = \det \left[\left(\begin{array}{c|c} v^* j_3 & \\ \hline & 1_{n-1} \end{array} \right) \cdot x_{(n+2)} \right], \tag{2.16}$$

where the matrix inside of $[\ \ ]$ is of size n. Then, in the same way as in Lemma 2.5 in [HK], we see the following.

Lemma 2.6 (1) *$g(x,v)$ is a relative P'-invariant associated by the character*

$$P' \ni (p,r) \longmapsto N(d_{n-1}(p))N(r)^{-1} = \psi_{n-1}(p)N(r)^{-1},$$

satisfying $g(x,v_0) = d_n(x)$ with $v_0 = {}^t(1,0,0)$.

(2) *For $x \in X^{op}$, there is $D_1(x) \in X_1$ such that*

$$g(x,v) = d_{n-1}(x) \cdot D_1(x)[v].$$

By using the embedding

$$K_1 = U(j_3) \hookrightarrow K = K_n,\ h \longmapsto \widetilde{h} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n-1} & & \\ & h & \\ & & 1_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain the following identity

$$\omega(x;s) \ = \ \int_K \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} |d_i(k \cdot x)|^{s_i} \cdot |d_{n-1}(k \cdot x)|^{s_{n-1}+s_n} \cdot \omega^{(1)}(D_1(k \cdot x); s_n) dk,$$

where $\omega^{(1)}(y; s_n)$ is the spherical function of size $n = 1$. Then, by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1+q^{2z_n}}{1-q^{-1+2z_n}} \times \omega(x;z) = \frac{1+q^{2z_n}}{1-q^{-1+2z_n}} \times \omega(x;s) \\
&= \int_K \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |d_i(k\cdot x)|^{s_i} |d_{n-1}(k\cdot x)|^{s_n} \frac{1+q^{2z_n}}{q^{2z_n}-q^{-1}} \cdot \omega^{(1)}(D_1(k\cdot x); -s_n - 2 + \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}) dk \\
&= \frac{1+q^{-2z_n}}{1-q^{-1-2z_n}} \times \omega(x; s_1, \ldots, s_{n-2}, s_{n-1} + 2s_n + 2 + \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}, -s_n - 2 + \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}) \\
&= \frac{1+q^{2z_n}}{q^{2z_n}-q^{-1}} \times \omega(x; \tau(z)),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.5. ∎

2.4. We prepare some notation. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma &= \{ \pm e_i \pm e_j,\ 2e_i \mid 1 \le i, j \le n,\ i \ne j \}, \\
\Sigma^+ &= \{ e_i + e_j,\ e_i - e_j \mid 1 \le i < j \le n \} \cup \{ 2e_i \mid 1 \le i \le n \}
\end{aligned}$$

where e_i is the i-th unit vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$, $1 \le i \le n$. We note here that $\Sigma \cup \{ e_i \mid 1 \le i \le n \}$ is the set of roots of G. We consider the pairing

$$\langle t,\ z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i, \quad (t, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n,$$

which satisfies

$$\langle \alpha,\ z \rangle = \langle \sigma(\alpha),\ \sigma(z) \rangle, \quad (\alpha \in \Sigma,\ z \in \mathbb{C}^n,\ \sigma \in W).$$

Then the following two theorems are proved in the same way as in [HK, Theorem 2.6] and [HK, Theorem 2.7], based on Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.7 *The spherical function $\omega(x; z)$ satisfies the following functional equation*

$$\omega(x; z) = \Gamma_\sigma(z) \cdot \omega(x; \sigma(z)), \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\Gamma_\sigma(z) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(\sigma)} \frac{1 - q^{\langle \alpha,\ z \rangle - 1}}{q^{\langle \alpha,\ z \rangle} - q^{-1}}, \quad \Sigma^+(\sigma) = \left\{ \alpha \in \Sigma_s^+ \;\middle|\; -\sigma(\alpha) \in \Sigma^+ \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.8 *The function $G(z) \cdot \omega(x; z)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and W-invariant. In particular it is an element in $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm z_1}, \ldots, q^{\pm z_n}]^W$, where*

$$G(z) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \frac{1 + q^{\langle \alpha,\ z \rangle}}{1 - q^{\langle \alpha,\ z \rangle - 1}}.$$

§3 The explicit formula for $\omega(x;z)$

3.1. We give the explicit formula of $\omega(x;z)$. It suffices to show the explicit formula for each x_λ, $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$ by Theorem 1.1, since $\omega(x;z)$ is stable on each K-orbit.

Theorem 3.1 *For $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$, one has the explicit formula*

$$\omega(x_\lambda;z) \;=\; \frac{(1+q^{-1})(1-q^{-2})^n}{w_{2n+1}(-q^{-1})}\cdot\frac{1}{G(z)}\cdot q^{\langle \lambda,\, z_0\rangle}\cdot Q_\lambda(z),$$

where $G(z)$ is given in Theorem 2.8, $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is the value in z-variable corresponding to $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ in s-variable,

$$z_{0,i} = -(n-i+1) + (n-i+\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \tag{3.1}$$

$$w_m(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{m}(1-t^i),$$

$$Q_\lambda(z) = Q_\lambda(z;-q^{-1},-q^{-2}), \quad Q_\lambda(z;t) = \sum_{\sigma\in W}\sigma\left(q^{-\langle\lambda,\,z\rangle}c(z,t)\right),$$

$$c(z;t) = \prod_{\alpha\in\Sigma^+}\frac{1-t_\alpha q^{\langle\alpha,\,z\rangle}}{1-q^{\langle\alpha,\,z\rangle}}, \quad t_\alpha = \begin{cases} t_s = -q^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha = e_i \pm e_j \\ t_\ell = -q^{-2} & \text{if } \alpha = 2e_i. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Remark 3.2 We see the main part $Q_\lambda(z)$ of $\omega(x;z)$ is contained in $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm z_1},\dots,q^{\pm z_n}]^W$ by Theorem 2.8, and

$$P_\lambda(z;t_s,t_\ell) = \frac{1}{W_\lambda(t_s,t_\ell)}\cdot Q_\lambda(z;t_s,t_\ell) \tag{3.3}$$

is a specialization of Hall-Littlewood polynomial of type C_n, where $W_\lambda(t_s,t_\ell)$ is the Poincaré polynomial of the stabilizer subgroup W_λ of W at λ, and

$$W_\lambda(-q^{-1},-q^{-2}) = \frac{\widetilde{w_\lambda}(-q^{-1})}{(1+q^{-1})^{n+1}}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\widetilde{w_\lambda}(t) = \begin{cases} w_{m_0(\lambda)+1}(t)\cdot\prod_{\ell\ge 0} w_{m_\ell(\lambda)}(t) & \text{if } m_0 > 0 \\ \prod_{\ell\ge 1} w_{m_\ell(\lambda)}(t) & \text{if } m_0 = 0, \end{cases} \qquad m_\ell(\lambda) = \sharp\left\{\, i \mid \lambda_i = \ell\right\}.$$

Using $P_\lambda(z) = P_\lambda(z;-q^{-1},-q^{-2})$ we have

$$\omega(x_\lambda;z) = \frac{(1-q^{-1})^n}{w_{2n+1}(-q^{-1})}\cdot\frac{1}{G(z)}\cdot\widetilde{w_\lambda}(-q^{-1})\cdot q^{\langle\lambda,\,z_0\rangle}\cdot P_\lambda(z), \quad (\lambda\in\Lambda_n^+). \tag{3.5}$$

It is known (cf. [M2], [HK, Appendix B]) that the set $\{\,P_\lambda(z;t_s,t_\ell) \mid \lambda\in\Lambda_n^+\}$ forms an orthogonal $\mathbb{C}$-basis for $\mathcal{R}$, in particular $P_{\mathbf{0}}(z) = 1$ (cf. (4.4) and (4.6)). In the present case, the root system of the group $G = U(j_{2n+1})$ is of type BC_n, but we can write the explicit formula for $\omega(x;z)$ as above by using P_λ of type C_n. We need a different specialization from the case of unitary hermitian forms of even size, which is a homogeneous space of the group $U(j_{2n})$, where $(t_s,t_\ell) = (-q^{-1},q^{-1})$ (cf. [HK]).

Remark 3.3 We give an interpretation of the constant z_0. For $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let

$$\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{ht}(v)} = \prod_{\beta \in \Sigma_s^+} t_s^{\langle v, \beta^\vee \rangle/2} \prod_{\beta \in \Sigma_\ell^+} t_\ell^{\langle v, \beta^\vee \rangle/2}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\beta^\vee = 2\beta/\langle \beta, \beta \rangle$. Then for $v = \alpha \in \Sigma$, this coincides with the generalization of the heights of roots [M1]. On the other hand, (3.6) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{ht}(v)} = q^{\langle v, z_0 \rangle},$$

where z_0 is given by (3.1). Thus z_0 can be regarded as a generalization of the dual Weyl vector.

From this viewpoint, the constant z_0 in the even case is calculated as

$$z_{0,i} = -(n - i + \frac{1}{2}) + (n-i)\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}, \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$

which corresponds to the change of variables

$$s_n = -z_n - \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.7}$$

in (0.3) with the same s_i $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ as before. This modification causes only the sign changes of $\omega(x_\lambda; z)$, that is, $\omega(x_\lambda; z)$ with (3.7) is the multiple by $(-1)^{|\lambda|}$ of the original $\omega(x_\lambda; z)$. In other words, on $G \cdot x_0$ the both coincide and on $G \cdot x_1$ the difference is the multiple by -1.

We prove Theorem 3.1 by using a general expression formula of spherical functions given in [H4, §2]. We have to check some assumptions, and it is easy to see them except the following condition (A3):

(A3) : For any $y \in X \backslash X^{op}$, there exists some $\psi \in \langle \psi_i \mid 1 \le i \le n \rangle$ which is not trivial on the identity component of the stabilizer B_y.

In the above statement, the character ψ_i is given in (2.1) and the set X^{op} is given in (2.2). We admit (A3), which will be proved in §3.2, and prove the above theorem. According to the B-orbit decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} &X^{op} = \sqcup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} X_u, \quad \mathcal{U} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \\ &X_u = \{ x \in X^{op} \mid v_\pi(d_i(x)) \equiv u_1 + \cdots + u_i \pmod 2,\ 1 \le i \le n \}, \end{aligned}$$

we consider finer spherical functions

$$\omega_u(x; s) = \int_K |\mathbf{d}(x)|_u^s \, dk, \quad |\mathbf{d}(y)|_u^s = \begin{cases} |\mathbf{d}(y)|^s & \text{if } y \in X_u, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$ and generic z we have the following identity:

$$(\omega_u(x_\lambda; z))_{u \in \mathcal{U}} = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{\sigma \in W} \gamma(\sigma(z)) B(\sigma, z) \, (\delta_u(x; z))_{u \in \mathcal{U}}, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$Q = \sum_{\sigma \in W} [U\sigma U : U] \quad (U \text{ is the Iwahori subgroup of } K \text{ associated with } B),$$

$$\gamma(z) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha = e_i \pm e_j \\ 1 \le i < j \le n}} \frac{1 - q^{-2+2\langle \alpha, z \rangle}}{1 - q^{2\langle \alpha, z \rangle}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(1 + q^{-2+2z_i})(1 - q^{-1+2z_i})}{1 - q^{4z_i}},$$

$$\delta_u(x_\lambda; z) = \int_U |\mathbf{d}(\nu \cdot x_\lambda)|_u^s \, d\nu = \begin{cases} c_\lambda q^{-\langle \lambda, z \rangle} & \text{if } x_\lambda \in X_u \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $B(\sigma, z)$ is determined by the functional equation

$$(\omega_u(x_\lambda; z))_{u \in \mathcal{U}} = B(\sigma, z) \, (\omega_u(x_\lambda; \sigma(z)))_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \,,$$

hence $B(\sigma, z)$ can be obtained by Theorem 2.7. We note here that Q and $\gamma(z)$ are determined for the group $U(j_{2n+1})$ (cf. [Car, Theorem 4.4]) and $\gamma(z)$ coincides with $c(\lambda)$ there for the character $\lambda(p) = (-1)^{v_\pi(p_1 \cdots p_n)} \prod_{i=1}^n |N(p_i)|^{z_i}$, where p_i is the i-th diagonal entry of $p \in B$. We don't need to calculate the constant Q in advance, since it is determined by the property $\omega(x; s)|_{s=0} = 1$ and $P_{\mathbf{0}}(z) = 1$. Thus, we obtain the explicit expression for $\omega(x_\lambda, z) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \omega_u(x_\lambda; z)$ in the similar line as in [HK, §3.1]. ∎

We have the following immediately from Remark 3.2.

Corollary 3.4 *For $x_{\mathbf{0}} = 1_{2n+1}$, one has*

$$\omega(1_{2n+1}; z) = \frac{(1 - q^{-1})^n w_n(-q^{-1}) w_{n+1}(-q^{-1})}{w_{2n+1}(-q^{-1})} \times \frac{1}{G(z)}.$$

3.2. In this subsection, we prove that X_n satisfies the condition (A3). We set

$$t(\mathbf{b}) = \mathrm{Diag}(b_1, \ldots, b_n, 1, b_n^{-1}, \ldots, b_1^{-1}) \in B_n, \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in k^{\times n}. \tag{3.9}$$

Assume $n = 1$ and take any $x \in X_1$ such that $d_1(x) = 0$. Then, we see

$$B_1 \cdot x \ni y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $t(b)$ stabilizes y for any $b \in k^\times$.

Lemma 3.5 *Assume $x \in X_n$, $d_1(x) \neq 0$. Then $B \cdot x$ has an element of the shape*

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad y \in X_{n-1} \backslash {X_{n-1}}^{op}, \ c = 1, \pi.$$

Proof. (This lemma is similar to [HK, Lemma 3.4].) Since $d_1(x) \neq 0$, one can change by diagonal $(2n+1, 2n+1)$-entry of x to be 1 or π^{-1}. Then one can change $(i, 2n+1)$-entry to be 0 except $i = 2n+1$, and also for $(2n+1, i)$-entry. Then,we make all the $(i, 2n+1)$-component except $i = 2n+1$ to be 0. Then by the property $xj_{2n+1}xj_{2n+1} = 1_{2n+1}$, it has the shape as above. ∎

The next lemma can be proved similarly to [HK, Lemma 3.5].

Lemma 3.6 *Assume $x \in X_n$, $d_1(x) = 0$, and the first non-zero entry in the last column from the bottom stands at $(2n-\ell+2, 2n+1)$-entry with $2 \leq \ell \leq n$. Then there is some $y \in B \cdot x$ which satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &y_{1,j} = y_{j,1} = \delta_{j,\ell}, \quad y_{2n+1,j} = y_{j,2n+1} = \delta_{2n-\ell+2,j}, \\ &y_{\ell,j} = y_{j,\ell} = \delta_{j,1}, \quad y_{2n-\ell+2,j} = y_{j,2n-\ell+2} = \delta_{2n+1,j}, \end{aligned}$$

and B_y contains $t(\mathbf{b})$ such that $b_1 = b$, $b_\ell = b^{-1}$ and other $b_j = 1$.

The next lemma follows from the property $xj_{2n+1}xj_{2n+1} = 1_{2n+1}$ for $x \in X$.

Lemma 3.7 *Assume $x \in X_n$ satisfies $(2n-\ell+2, 2n+1)$-entry is 0 for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$. Then $(n+1, 2n+1)$-entry is also 0.*

The next lemma can be proved similarly to [HK, Lemma 3.6].

Lemma 3.8 *Assume $x \in X_n$, $d_1(x) = 0$, and the first non-zero entry in the last column from the bottom stands at $(\ell, 2n+1)$-entry with $2 \leq \ell \leq n$. Then there is some $y \in B \cdot x$ which satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &y_{1,j} = y_{j,1} = \delta_{j,2n-\ell+2}, \quad y_{2n+1,j} = y_{j,2n+1} = \delta_{\ell,j}, \\ &y_{\ell,j} = y_{j,\ell} = \delta_{j,2n+1}, \qquad y_{2n-\ell+2,j} = y_{j,2n-\ell+2} = \delta_{j,1}, \end{aligned}$$

and B_y contains $t(\mathbf{b})$ such that $b_1 = b_\ell = b$ and other $b_j = 1$.

By Lemma 3.5 – Lemma 3.8, we have only to consider $x \in X$ of the following type:

$$x = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} * & * & x_r \\ \hline * & * & 0 \\ \hline x_r^* & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad x_r = \begin{pmatrix} * & & \xi_1 \\ & \iddots & \\ \xi_r & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ 1 \leq r \leq n.$$

Then we have $\xi_i = \pm 1$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ and may change x_r as dj_r with $d = \mathrm{Diag}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r)$ by the action of B_n. Hence we may assume

$$x = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} * & * & dj_r \\ \hline * & y & 0 \\ \hline j_r d & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad 1 \leq r \leq n, \ d = \mathrm{Diag}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_r), \ \xi_i = \pm 1, \ y = y^*. \tag{3.10}$$

If $d_1(y) \neq 0$, we may change $(r+1)$-th and $(2n-r+1)$-th rows and columns of x into 0 except diagonal elements, keeping the last r rows and columns. Then, erasing those two rows and columns, we have an element in $X_{n-1} \backslash {X_{n-1}}^{op}$. If y satisfies the assumption of

Lemma 3.6 or Lemma 3.8, we see $\psi_{r+1}({B_x}^0) \not\equiv 0$. Thus the remaining case is $r = n$ with the following shape:

$$x = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} a & c & dj_n \\ \hline {}^t c & \xi & 0 \\ \hline j_n d & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad d = \mathrm{Diag}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n),\ \xi, \xi_i = \pm 1,\ c \in \{0,1\}^n, \tag{3.11}$$

where we may assume c as above by the action of a suitable diagonal element in B_n. By the property of $\Phi_{xj_{2n+1}}(t)$ and $xj_{2n+1}xj_{2n+1} = 1_{2n+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sharp\{\xi_i \mid \xi_i = 1\} = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & n \text{ is even, and } \xi = 1 \\ \frac{n+1}{2}, & n \text{ is odd, and } \xi = -1 \end{cases} \\ &c_i = 0 \ \text{ if } \ \xi_i = \xi, \\ &a_{ii} = \frac{\xi}{2} \ \text{ if } c_i = 1, \quad a_{ij} = \frac{\xi}{2} \ \text{ if } c_i = c_j = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We take a unipotent element $p \in B$ for which only non-zero entries outside of the diagonal are the following

$$\begin{array}{ll} p_{i,n+1} = -p_{n+1,2n-i+2} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, & \text{if } c_i = 1, \\ p_{i,n+1+i} = p_{i,n+1+k} = p_{k,n+1+i} = p_{i,n+1+k} - \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } c_i = c_k = 1,\ i \neq k. \end{array}$$

Then the $(n+1)$-th row and column of $p \cdot x$ is 0 except $(n+1, n+1)$ which is ε, and the new a-part satisfies

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 0 \text{ if } \ \xi_i = \xi_j.$$

Then the stabilizer of $p \cdot x$ contains $t(\mathbf{b})$ with $b_i = b$ if $\xi_i = \xi$ and $b_j = b^{-1}$ if $\xi_j = -\xi$, $b \in k^\times$. ∎

§4 Spherical Fourier transform and Plancherel formula on $\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$

We modify spherical function as follows:

$$\Psi(x; z) = \omega(x; z) \big/ \omega(1_{2n}; z) \in \mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm z_1}, \ldots, q^{\pm z_n}]^W, \tag{4.1}$$

and define the spherical Fourier transform on the Schwartz space

$$\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X) \ = \ \{\varphi : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \text{left } K\text{-invariant, compactly supported}\},$$

by

$$\begin{array}{rccl} F: & \mathcal{S}(K\backslash X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R} \\ & \varphi & \longmapsto & F(\varphi)(z) = \int_X \varphi(x)\Psi(x; z)dx, \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

where dx is a G-invariant measure on X. The existence of a G-invariant measure is assured by the fact X is a union of two G-orbits and G is reductive, and we fix the normalization afterwards. Then, for each characteristic function ch_λ of $K\cdot x_\lambda,\ \lambda\in\Lambda_n^+$, we have

$$F(ch_\lambda)(z)=q^{\langle\lambda,\,z_0\rangle}\frac{\widetilde{w_\lambda}(-q^{-1})}{\widetilde{w_{\mathbf{0}}}(-q^{-1})}\cdot v(K\cdot x_\lambda)P_\lambda(z), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\widetilde{w_\lambda}(-q^{-1})$ is defined in Theorem 3.1, and $v(K\cdot x_\lambda)$ is the volume of $K\cdot x_\lambda$ with respect to dx. On the other hand, we regard $\mathcal{R}$ as an $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$-module through the Satake isomorphism λ_z (cf. (2.7)). Then we have the following in the similar line as in Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 in [HK].

Theorem 4.1 *The spherical Fourier transform F gives an $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$-module isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)\ \tilde{\to}\ \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm z_1},\dots,q^{\pm z_n}]^W(=\mathcal{R}),$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is regarded as $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$-module via λ_z. Especially, $\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$ is a free $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$-module of rank 2^n.

Corollary 4.2 *All the spherical functions on X are parametrized by eigenvalues $z\in\left(\mathbb{C}/\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\log q}\mathbb{Z}\right)^n/W$ through $\mathcal{H}(G,K)\longrightarrow\mathbb{C},\ f\longmapsto\lambda_z(f)$. The set $\left\{\Psi(x;z+u)\ \middle|\ u\in\{0,\pi\sqrt{-1}/\log q\}^n\right\}$ forms a basis of the space of spherical functions on X corresponding to z.*

We introduce an inner product $\langle\ ,\rangle_{\mathcal{R}}$ on $\mathcal{R}$ by

$$\langle P,\,Q\rangle_{\mathcal{R}}=\int_{\mathfrak{a}^*}P(z)\overline{Q(z)}d\mu(z),\quad P,Q\in\mathcal{R}. \tag{4.4}$$

Here

$$\mathfrak{a}^*=\left\{\sqrt{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}/\frac{2\pi}{\log q}\mathbb{Z}\right)\right\}^n,\quad d\mu=\frac{1}{n!2^n}\cdot\frac{w_n(-q^{-1})w_{n+1}(-q^{-1})}{(1+q^{-1})^{n+1}}\cdot\frac{1}{|c(z)|^2}dz, \tag{4.5}$$

where dz is the Haar measure on $\mathfrak{a}^*$ with $\int_{\mathfrak{a}^*}dz=1$ and $c(z)=c(z;-q^{-1},-q^{-2})$ is defined in Theorem 3.1. Then, it is known (cf. [HK, Proposition B.3]) that

$$\langle P_\lambda,\,P_\mu\rangle_{\mathcal{R}}=\langle P_\mu,\,P_\lambda\rangle_{\mathcal{R}}=\delta_{\lambda,\mu}\cdot\frac{\widetilde{w_{\mathbf{0}}}(-q^{-1})}{\widetilde{w_\lambda}(-q^{-1})},\quad(\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda_n^+). \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, in the similar line to [HK, Lemma 4.4], one has

$$\frac{v(K\cdot x_\lambda)}{v(K\cdot x_\mu)}=\frac{q^{2\langle\mu,\,z_0\rangle}\widetilde{w_\mu}(-q^{-1})}{q^{2\langle\lambda,\,z_0\rangle}\widetilde{w_\lambda}(-q^{-1})},\quad(\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda_n^+,\ |\lambda|\equiv|\mu|\,(\mathrm{mod}2)). \tag{4.7}$$

Theorem 4.3 (Plancherel formula on $\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$) *Let $d\mu$ be the measure defined by (4.5). By the normalization of G-invariant measure dx such that*

$$v(K\cdot x_\lambda)=q^{-2\langle\lambda,\,z_0\rangle}\frac{\widetilde{w_{\mathbf{0}}}(-q^{-1})}{\widetilde{w_\lambda}(-q^{-1})},\qquad\lambda\in\Lambda_n^+, \tag{4.8}$$

one has for any $\varphi,\psi\in\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$

$$\int_X\varphi(x)\overline{\psi(x)}dx=\int_{\mathfrak{a}^*}F(\varphi)(z)\overline{F(\psi)(z)}d\mu(z). \tag{4.9}$$

Outline of a proof. Since X decomposes into two G-orbits, we may normalize dx on X as

$$v(K\cdot x_\lambda)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{for } \lambda=\mathbf{0},\\ q^{-2n}\frac{(1-(-q^{-1})^n)(1-(-q^{-1})^{n+1})}{1+q^{-1}} & \text{for } \lambda=(1,0,\cdots,0)\end{cases},$$

and the identity (4.8) follows from this and (4.7). Then, for any $\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda_n^+$, we see by (4.3), (4.6), and (4.8)

$$\int_X ch_\lambda(x)\overline{ch_\mu(x)}dx=\delta_{\lambda,\mu}q^{-2\langle\lambda,\,z_0\rangle}\frac{\widetilde{w_{\mathbf{0}}}(-q^{-1})}{\widetilde{w_\lambda}(-q^{-1})}=\int_{\mathfrak{a}^*}F(ch_\lambda)(z)\overline{F(ch_\mu)(z)}d\mu(z).$$

Since $\{\,\mathrm{ch}_\lambda\mid\lambda\in\Lambda_n^+\}$ spans $\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$, we see (4.9) holds. ∎

The next corollary is an easy consequence of Theorem 4.3.

Corollary 4.4 (Inversion formula) *For any $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}(K\backslash X)$,*

$$\varphi(x)=\int_{\mathfrak{a}^*}F(\varphi)(z)\Psi(x;z)d\mu(z),\quad x\in X.$$

References

[Bo] A. Borel: *Linear Algebraic Groups, Second enlarged edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **126**, Springer, 1991.

[Car] P. Cartier: Representations of $\mathfrak{p}$-adic groups — A survey, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **33-1**(1979), 111–155.

[H2] Y. Hironaka: Spherical functions and local densities on hermitian forms, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **51**(1999), 553 – 581.

[H4] Y. Hironaka: Spherical functions on p-adic homogeneous spaces, *"Algebraic and Analytic Aspects of Zeta Functions and L-functions"* – Lectures at the French-Japanese Winter School (Miura, 2008)–, MSJ Memoirs **21**(2010), 50 – 72.

[H5] Y. Hironaka: Spherical functions on $U(2n)/(U(n)\times U(n))$ and hermitian Siegel series, *"Geometry and Analysis of Automorphic Forms of Several Variables"*, Series on Number Theory and Its Applications **7**, World Scientific, 2011, 120 – 159.

[HK] Y. Hironaka and Y. Komori: Spherical functions on the space of p-adic unitary hermitian matrices, *Int. J. Number Theory*, **10**(2014), 513 – 558; Math arXiv:1207.6189

[HS] Y. Hironaka and F. Sato: The Siegel series and spherical functions on $O(2n)/(O(n)\times O(n))$, *"Automorphic forms and zeta functions"* – Proceedings of the conference in memory of Tsuneo Arakawa –, World Scientific, 2006, 150 – 169.

[Ja] R. Jacobowitz: Hermitian forms over local fields, *Amer. J. Math.* **84**(1962), 441 – 465.

[M1] I. G. Macdonald: The Poincaré series of a Coxeter group, *Math. Ann.* **199**(1972), 161 – 174.

[M2] I. G. Macdonald: Orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems, *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire* **45**(2000), Article B45a.

[Sa] I. Satake, Theory of spherical functions on reductive algebraic groups ovr p-adic fields, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **18**(1963), 5 – 69.

[Se] J. P. Serre: *Galois cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, 1997, (English translation of "*Cohomologie Galoisienne*", 1964).